IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 9371 of 2005**

Between:

K.Hampaiah Swamy, S/o.K.Erri Swamy,
R/o.H.No.45-142-31-L, Venkata Ramana,
Colony, Kurnool.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The Secretary, Regional Transport, Authority, Kurnool.
2 The Regional Transport officer, O/o.Regional Transport Authority,

Kurnool
3 The Vigilance & Enforcement officer, Vigilance & Enforcement

Department Kurnool.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction, particularly one in the nature of a writ of certiorari, calling for the records relating to the proceedings in R.No.9260/A3/2001 dated 13-10-2001 issued by the Respondents No1 and quash the same as arbitrary, illegal, violative of the mandatory provisions of the Motor vehicles Act 1988 and the Rules framed thereunder from time to time and ultravires the provisions of Articles 14 and 19 (1)(g) of the Constitution of India.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.NARENDER RAJ**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR TRANSPORT**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following:**

**HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY**

WRIT PETITION No.9371 of 2005

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the show cause notice dated 13-10-2001 under which it was alleged that the petitioner had committed certain contraventions which are specified in the annexure and the petitioner was asked to submit his explanation by 30-10-2001.

**2 .** It is not known whether any explanation was submitted by the petitioner and whether any order has been passed or not, but however, the petitioner has come up with the present writ petition questioning the said show cause notice. Since it is only a show cause notice, and as the petitioner did not bring out any other facts or subsequent events, this Court declines to entertain the writ petition against the show cause notice, that too after lapse of nearly four years.

**3.** Accordingly, the Writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

S.ANANDA REDDY, J

09th June, 2005

SKM

To

1 The Secretary, Regional Transport, Authority, Kurnool.
2 The Regional Transport officer, O/o.Regional Transport Authority,

Kurnool
3 The Vigilance & Enforcement officer, Vigilance & Enforcement

Department Kurnool.

4 Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Transport, High Court Buildings, High

Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

5 Two CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**JA**}*